

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/872,704	06/01/2001	Randy L. Morningstar	687-442	2503	
7:	590 09/24/2002	•			
Barbara A. Wrigley OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY, LLP 45 South Street			EXAMINER		
			FERKO, KATHRYN P		
Suite 3300 Minneapolis, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
, , , ,			3743		
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
, <b>3</b>		09/872,704	MORNINGSTAR, RANDY L.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kathryn Ferko	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	DRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS accuse the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	Decreasive to communication(s) filed on 01	luno 2001					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 .</u>						
2a)□	· <del></del>	is action is non-final.	n procedution as to the marite is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
• —	Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	on Papers The appeification is objected to by the Evamine	r					
·	Fhe specification is objected to by the Examine Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep		Evaminor				
10)		•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Appli	ication No				
* 8	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
_	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and T	redemark Office						

PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 3743

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title should point out a novel feature. There are many implantable medical balloons.
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. **The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided.** The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Terminology such as "comprises" should be avoided.

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-19 and 52-66, drawn to an implantable balloon with a valve, classified in class 600, subclass 29.
  - II. Claims 20-51 and 67-88, drawn to method of manufacture, classified in class 427, subclass 2.3.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the

Art Unit: 3743

process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the apparatus can be made by another method and vice versa.

If applicant elects Group I, further election is required.

- I(A). Claims 1-19 and 52-61, drawn to an implantable balloon with valve, classified in class 600, subclass 29.
- I(B). Claims 62-66, drawn to a valve constructed by a dip-coating process, classified in class 604, subclass 920.
- 3. Inventions I(B) and I(A) are related as process of making a subcombination of the product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the apparatus can be made with a valve made according to a process other than claimed.

If applicant elects Group II, further election is required.

- II(A). Claims 20-51, drawn to a method of forming a medical balloon, classified in class 427, subclass 2.3.
- II(B). Claim 67, drawn to a method of creating a channel, classified in class 604, subclass 912.

Art Unit: 3743

- III(C). Claims 68-88, drawn to a method of forming a medical balloon with silicone dispersion, classified in class 604, subclass 915.
- 4. Inventions II(A) and II(B) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the method of creating the channel is not necessarily required to create the medical balloon. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a lumen without a balloon apparatus.
- 5. Inventions II(C) and II(A) are related as combination and subcombination.

  Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because silicon is not a requirement of the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a medical balloon formed from another material.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3743

7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn Ferko whose telephone number is (703) 306-3454. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A Bennett can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

KF September 23, 2002

Supervices Danie Examiner

Group 3700